1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
2 3	DAVID CALLAWAY (CABN 121872) Chief, Criminal Division
4	KATHRYN HAUN (DCBN 484141) WILLIAM FRENTZEN (LABN 24421) Assistant United States Attorneys Dated: February 10, 2015
5	Assistant United States Attorneys Dated: February 10, 2015
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7200 FAX: (415) 436-7234
8	Kathryn.haun@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for United States of America Judge Jon S. Tigar Judge Jon S. Tigar
10	
1	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DISTRICT OF
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 13-CR-93 JST
15) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO) CONTINUE THE FEBRUARY 13, 2015 STATUS
16	v. CONTINUE THE PERCART 13, 2013 STATUS V. CONFERENCE DATE
17	JONATHAN MOTA
18	Defendant)
19	
20	The parties appeared before the Honorable Jon S. Tigar on October 29, 2014 for a hearing on
21	severance and 404(b) motions. Time under the Speedy Trial Act has been excluded until April 13, 2015,
22	and the Court has ordered the parties to submit a revised pretrial and trial schedule within 7 days after
23	the Court issues its decisions on the severance and 404(b) motions. To date, those decisions remain
24	outstanding. Recently, a Clerk's Notice set a status hearing for this Friday, February 13, 2015. The
25	parties jointly request that this status hearing be vacated and continued until a later date for the
26	
27	The parties are collectively of the view that the Court has already vacated the previous pretrial
28	and trial date that were predicated on an April 13, 2015 trial date. Neither party proposes to go forward on the original pretrial or trial schedule that pegged April 13, 2015 as a trial date.
	STA [PROPOSED] ORDER 13-CR-93 JST

Case 4:13-cr-00093-JST Document 162 Filed 02/10/15 Page 2 of 3

1	following reasons. For one thing, the main issue that remains outstanding is a pretrial and trial schedule,
2	and the parties believe they need the Court's ruling on the outstanding motions in order to meaningfully
3	develop a proposed schedule. There are no other significant status developments to report to the Court.
4	In addition, government counsel both have conflicts with the February 13, 2015, status hearing in
5	Oakland. Government counsel brought this to the attention of the Court's courtroom deputy upon seeing
6	the Clerk's Notice setting the February 13, 2015 date and the courtroom deputy replied that it was likely
7	the date would be vacated closer to the actual hearing date but that a date was needed to keep the case on
8	the Court's calendar. Standby Counsel for Defendant Mota has discussed this stipulation with Mr. Mota
9	and represents Mr. Mota agrees to the continuance of the status conference date and the trial date.
10	Accordingly, and time having already been excluded until April 13, 2015, the parties jointly request the
11	Court continue the status hearing until a date after the Court releases its rulings on the outstanding
12	motions. Counsel for both parties respectfully request that the Court set a hearing on a date that the
13	Court will be sitting in San Francisco, which the parties understand occurs one Friday per month.
14	Respectfully submitted
15	So stipulated
16	2/9/15
17	KATHRYN HAUN Assistant U.S. Attorney
18	So stipulated
19	2/9/15
20	/s/ RICHARD MAZER ESQ.
21	Standby Counsel for Defendant Pro Se Mota
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	STA [PROPOSED] ORDER
	Later Course Course

STA [PROPOSED] ORDER 13-CR-93 JST

Case 4:13-cr-00093-JST Document 162 Filed 02/10/15 Page 3 of 3

1	
2	PROPOSED ORDER
3	
4	For the reasons contained in the instant stipulated, IT IS SO ORDERED that the February 13, 2015 date
5	is continued until for the reasons stated in the parties' stipulation.
6	
7	
8	DATED:
9	JON S. TIGAR United States District Court Judge
0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	STA [PROPOSED] ORDER